266

CHARLES COWAN, PhD, Volume II, 2-18-09

```
1
           THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
     W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
     capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
     OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
     OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
     ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
     in his capacity as the
     TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
     FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                  Plaintiff,
10
                                    ) 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
     VS.
11
     TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                  Defendants.
13
14
                           VOLUME II OF THE VIDEOTAPED
15
     DEPOSITION OF CHARLES COWAN, PhD, produced as a
16
     witness on behalf of the Plaintiff in the above
17
     styled and numbered cause, taken on the 18th day of
18
     February, 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of
19
     Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A.
20
     Steinmeyer, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly
21
     certified under and by virtue of the laws of the
22
     State of Oklahoma.
23
24
25
```

280

1	Q And is it your understanding, sir, that SPSS	
2	has a pairwise deletion function that operates in	
3	the same way as the SysStat pairwise deletion	
4	function?	
5	A Yes, sir.	08:44AM
6	Q In your report when you state, I think at	
7	several occasions, that Dr. Olsen substituted mean	
8	values, would it be more precise to say that you	
9	believe that Dr. Olsen's methodology in SysStat had	
10	the effect of substituting mean values?	08:45AM
11	A Well, I wouldn't use the word methodology, but	
12	the remainder of your statement is correct, that	
13	it's the effect of using that default, which has the	
14	same effect as substituting the means.	
15	Q But but Dr. Olsen employed rather than	08:45AM
16	actually doing what you did, which was I guess to	
17	take the means of the data and then plug them in to	
18	those parameters excuse me, to those observations	
19	for the missing parameters	
20	A Yes, sir.	08:45AM
21	Q he simply loaded those observations with	
22	the missing data in to SysStat and selected pairwise	
23	deletion and let the program come to the results; is	
24	that correct?	
25	MR. TODD: Can I interrupt for a second?	08:46AM

281

1	Are yo	ou posing this as a hypothetical as to what Dr.	
2	Olsen	did?	
3		MR. PAGE: That's a question; that's a	
4	questi	on.	
5		MR. TODD: Okay, and you're testifying as	08:46AM
6	to wha	t Dr. Olsen did here?	
7	Q	Well, I thought we've already established that	
8	you be	elieve that Dr. Olsen used pairwise deletion,	
9	that y	ou ran it. Am I mistaken on that?	
10	A	No. I said that I was able to replicate his	08:46AM
11	result	s, and so he could have gotten those results	
12	doing	either of the procedures.	
13	Q	That's if you're correct in saying that the	
14	mean v	values do actually create if you substitute	
15	the me	ean values, you actually get the same results	08:46AM
16	as pai	rwise deletion; correct?	
17	A	If	
18	Q	If that's true?	
19	A	If that's true?	
20	Q	Yes.	08:46AM
21	A	Then, yes.	
22	Q	So are you testifying you really don't know	
23	what I	Or. Olsen ran when he ran SysStat?	
24	A	No. What I'm testifying is that it doesn't	
25	matter	because mathematically, they're identical.	08:46AM

282

CHARLES COWAN, PhD, Volume II, 2-18-09

1	Q	Well, answer the question, though, please,	
2	sir.	Do you know can you testify today whether	
3	Dr. O	lsen substituted mean values or ran pairwise	
4	delet	ion when he ran his PCA with data that had	
5	missin	ng variables?	08:47AM
6	A	No, because Dr. Olsen didn't provide any of	
7	the su	ummary documentation that tells me how he ran	
8	his p	rograms.	
9	Q	So let me go back to my original question then	
10	before	e the objection. So what you're stating today	08:47AM
11	is tha	at you don't know how Dr. Olsen ran his PCA	
12	with t	the missing observations?	
13	A	Yes, that's what I'm saying.	
14	Q	So on Page 23, for example, of your report	
15	A	Yes, sir.	08:48AM
16	Q	Paragraph 54, would you turn to that,	
17	please	e?	
18	A	Sure. Paragraph 23?	
19	Q	Yes, sir.	
20	A	I'm sorry, Page 23, Paragraph 54? I messed	08:48AM
21	up.		
22	Q	I did, too, by saying yes, sir. It's	
23	Paragi	raph 54, Page 23.	
24	A	Yes, sir.	
25	Q	Second sentence, when you say when he is	08:48AM

283

1	missing an observation, he substitutes the mean	
2	regardless of what he knows about the other	
3	variable. Would it be more precise to say, sir,	
4	that you believe he substituted the mean?	
5	A No, I don't I don't believe that at all	08:48AM
6	because since the two procedures are mathematically	
7	equivalent, I expect Dr. Olsen, if he is running the	
8	program and choosing the defaults, to understand	
9	what the effect of the choice of the default is.	
10	Q Would you read the second sentence of	08:49AM
11	Paragraph 54, please?	
12	A Yes, sir.	
13	Q Would you read it out loud for the court?	
14	A When he is missing an observation, he	
15	substitutes the mean regardless of what he knows	08:49AM
16	about the other variable.	
17	Q What do you mean by he substitutes the mean?	
18	A The effect of his choice of defaults is to	
19	substitute the mean. Mathematically they're all	
20	exactly the same.	08:49AM
21	Q Wouldn't you have been more accurate if you	
22	would have said the effect of running pairwise	
23	deletion in your opinion would be the substitution	
24	of the mean?	
25	A That is that's another way to express the	08:49AM

284

1	same thing. I'm not convinced that it would be the	
2	more accurate statement.	
3	Q Did you create a database with Dr. Olsen's	
4	missing data where you substituted the means?	
5	A Yes.	08:50AM
6	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Why did you do that if the effect is the same	
7	if you just run pairwise deletion?	
8	A Because there were other analyses that I could	
9	run with all of the values included over and above	
10	the principal components.	08:50AM
11	Q For example?	
12	A Recalculation of standard errors, for example.	
13	Q Anything else?	
14	A I believe I used excuse me. Oh, yes. I	
15	also wanted to have a complete dataset so that I	08:51AM
16	could perform the analyses that I performed that we	
17	see somewhere else in the report. I believe it's	
18	Chart 6, and to be able to do that, I needed to have	
19	complete data because both SysStat and SPSS, if they	
20	detect a missing value, will throw that value out of	08:51AM
21	the analysis.	
22	Q Which is Chart 6; would you identify that for	
23	the Record, please?	
24	A It's the one that is completely filled in in	
25	the dark blue.	08:51AM